Learning from Both Sides: Experiences and Opportunities in the Investigation of Australian Aboriginal Medicinal Plants
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ABSTRACT – With one of the oldest surviving cultures in the world, Australian Aboriginal people have developed immense knowledge about the diverse Australian flora. Western scientific investigation of some Australian Aboriginal medicinal plants has demonstrated interesting pharmacological activities and chemistry, however the majority of these species have not yet been extensively examined. We argue that research that is locally initiated and driven by Indigenous traditional owners in collaboration with Western scientists has significant potential to develop new plant-based products. Locally driven medicinal plants research in which traditional owners work as researchers in collaboration with University-based colleagues in the investigation of medicines rather than “stakeholders” or “informants” is one model that may be used in characterising plants with the potential to be developed into sustainable plant-based medicinal products with commercial value. Our team has taken this approach in research located both on traditional homelands and in the laboratory. Research being conducted by the University of South Australia and Chuulangun Aboriginal Corporation has led to patent filing for protection of intellectual property associated with novel compounds and extracts with the potential for development through cosmetic, complementary medicine and pharmaceutical routes. Ongoing research is examining the commercial developmental pathways and requirements for product development in these spaces. This review will address the opportunities that might exist for working in partnership with Australian Indigenous communities, some of the scientific knowledge which has been generated so far from our work together and the lessons learnt since the inception of the collaboration between the Chuulangun Aboriginal Corporation and scientists from the University of South Australia.

This article is open to POST-PUBLICATION REVIEW. Registered readers (see “For Readers”) may comment by clicking on ABSTRACT on the issue’s contents page.

INTRODUCTION

This article provides a philosophical and cultural perspective of an Australian based Indigenous-guided natural product medicine project, which is complemented with a discussion of some of the Western scientific research outcomes. The reader should note that aspects of the discussion included in this manuscript may not necessarily reflect the beliefs and opinions of individual (specific) Australian Aboriginal communities but should rather be viewed as a broader, more generalized perspective.

Australian Indigenous peoples have occupied the Australian continent for an estimated 40,000-50,000 years (1) and during that time have developed a profound understanding of the Australian landscape and processes of biodiversity conservation management. At the time of European settlement in 1788, early explorers were surprised at how such a landscape had been kept curated in an almost pristine-like manner (2). Complex traditional land management practices used by Indigenous peoples helped to create the natural landscape of Australia prior to European arrival (3-6). Unfortunately, as Western agricultural practices and population increase began to take effect, so did an imbalance of the once well-managed country (homelands).

In some regions of remote Australia, the influence of Western society and governance has resulted in a shift in the number of Indigenous people living on traditional homelands, with many relocating to major urban centres, resulting in a loss of heritage and traditions of their ancestors (7). Ultimately, this will lead to a decline in the
intergenerational transfer of traditional knowledge that has been developed and maintained over the millennia. While it may not be immediately apparent, a failure to address this issue has consequences for Aboriginal peoples in terms of a loss of language, culture and identity (8). Moreover, traditional management practices which have sustained the unique biodiversity that exists today on the Australian continent will also be threatened (6, 9, 10).

Traditional medicine systems form one aspect of Australian Indigenous traditional knowledge. It should be noted that these systems are highly complex and may vary between different Indigenous groups across the continent (11-13). These systems incorporate mostly the use of plants for the purpose of treating disease but may also see the use of other natural resources (e.g. animals such as Witchetty grub, *Endoxyla leucomochla* and minerals and clays) (12-14). Traditionally there has been oral transmission of this knowledge (and traditional knowledge in general) which may come in the form of stories, singing and dancing ceremonies. Due to an absence of a written language, physical recordings of this knowledge prior to European colonisation of Australia do not exist. Therefore traditional owner groups are undertaking and exploring ways to preserve knowledge. One possible way is through collaborative projects with Western-based researchers working in areas of botany, ecology, pharmacology and anthropology to record knowledge and investigate plants from a Western scientific perspective for pharmacological activity.

This review will address the opportunities that might exist for developing medicinal products from the Australian flora and working in partnership with Australian Indigenous communities. Our own experiences of working in an ongoing collaborative research initiative between Indigenous researchers based on their traditional homelands in Cape York Peninsula, Queensland and University-based researchers will be presented. An emphasis is placed on reviewing the Western scientific outcomes from this research to highlight the therapeutic opportunities that exist in the investigation of Australian Aboriginal medicinal plants in addition to preserving medicinal plant knowledge.

**The Opportunity**

Australia is listed as one of the Earth’s megadiverse countries by Conservation International and the United Nations Environment Program's World Conservation Monitoring Centre. Fire regimes have been a major tool to ensure a balance and survival of the approximate 18,500 (± 1.0 %) native flowering plant species that are found in Australia (15). Australia possesses one of the highest levels of species richness and endemism in the world. Areas containing both species richness and endemism are classified as hotspots, with several having been identified by Crisp et al. (16) from work reported during the early 2000s. These include south-west Western Australia, Cape York Peninsula (Queensland), Border-Ranges (Queensland – New South Wales border), North Kimberley, Kakadu-Alligator Rivers (Northern Territory), Kangaroo Island (South Australia) and Tasmania. With such rich biodiversity, the notion that this could translate into novel chemical diversity of natural products produced by these plants with biological activities that are unique in their mode of action is a tantalising prospect.

Many plants have been used by different clans of Indigenous people throughout Australia as a means of primary treatment of ailment and disease. Whilst Indigenous populations rely on access to conventional (Western) medicines, the use of more traditional approaches remains. One important distinction between Western and Traditional medicine is the philosophy behind how the illness and disease is combatted (17). Indigenous philosophy as it relates to medicinal plants sees the whole plant (or plant part) and the combination of constituents found within it as the active ingredient, in contrast to Western medicine, where generally a single chemical entity is used as the medicine (14, 18, 19).

It would be a disappointing scenario if the knowledge of medicinal plant use developed by Australian Indigenous populations was lost. The opportunity to help ensure that this information is available for generations to come and work with communities in achieving this would be foolish to ignore. Before such ventures can take place however, an understanding is required to realise why communities might not want to work with Western researchers.

Part of the reluctance of Indigenous communities to work with Western scientists is historical and relates to the post-colonisation treatment as non-equal, including the removal of Indigenous populations from their native lands and into Government controlled reserves initiated by Aboriginal Protection Acts (e.g. The Aboriginals Protection and Restriction of the Sale of Opium Act 1897) (20). In terms of medicinal
In recent years, there has been a positive shift towards creating collaborative research initiatives with Indigenous communities in which all parties participate and benefit. This is most likely as a result of the establishment of the Convention on Biological Diversity and the subsequent protocols and guidelines governed by this instrument both abroad (e.g. Bonn Guidelines (23), Nagoya Protocol (24)) and locally (25). Whilst it is common for Western researchers to want to learn from Indigenous peoples, there is a strong desire of Indigenous communities wanting to understand what Western scientific principles can tell them about their natural resources and how this knowledge might be harnessed for the benefit of their people. A two-way exchange of information approach is helping to move beyond the dichotomy of Indigenous versus scientific paradigms that has plagued the area for a long time (26). In constructing collaborative research with Indigenous people, it should be made apparent that traditional knowledge is Indigenous technology (2). Accordingly, Indigenous people have their own effective science and resource use practices; a concept that is finally gaining practical recognition (27).

**Figure 1.** Approaches to Aboriginal medicine research in Australia.
Previous Medical Plant Research in Australia

Research centred on the Western scientific properties of Australian Aboriginal medicinal plants, namely the chemistry, pharmacology and mode of actions has been predominantly carried out within academic institutional environments. Figure 1 illustrates general pathways for researching Australian Aboriginal medicines, being either affiliated or non-affiliated with an Indigenous community(ies).

Some of the earliest research carried out on Australian flora was initiated by the CSIRO during the 1940s in response to World War II and the need to find sources for new drugs of plant origin at the time (28). Several thousand plant species were screened for their alkaloid content over an approximate 30 year period and subsequent screening for anti-tumour activity as part of an agreement with the Cancer Chemotherapy National Service Centre, National Institutes of Health (USA). Alkaloid secondary metabolites as stock poisons or with anti-tumour activities were of major interest to the CSIRO. However, a loosely organised collaboration of phytochemists from Australian universities stemming from this initiative were involved in identifying a broad range of other chemical classes including terpenoids, flavonoids, steroids and other phenolics. Many of the compounds were isolated and identified for the first time – highlighting the novel chemical diversity of natural products produced by the unique Australian flora. However, in general these studies lacked any pharmacological aspect. Needless to say, therefore, the chemodiversity and pharmacological potential of the large number of plant species screened was still far from understood.

There are still a large number of plants used by Australian Indigenous communities for medicinal purposes (either recorded in the literature or not in the public domain) that have not been examined from Western scientific perspectives. Previous projects like the CSIRO type and other more recent ones (29) involved little if any consultation with Indigenous communities. Hence opportunities remain for current and future research projects to operate as collaborative, ethnobotanical-guided initiatives to discover a wealth of untapped potential from traditionally used plants.

Some research approaches may involve use of published literature sources and Aboriginal pharmacopoeias as a means guiding of plant selection for Western scientific studies of the native flora (30-34). This form of research, which has been undertaken in the past by some of the authors of this review, is driven and published purely by Western researchers with little, if any scope for this avenue to provide benefits back to the communities whose knowledge, culture and spirituality are embedded in those resources. Alternatively, where an affiliation initially exists, this may be short-lived for a variety of reasons with Indigenous peoples then simply serving as informants of traditional knowledge which guides the research, and become bystanders of the research process as Western researchers continue to carry on their investigations (35). Another approach can be research collaborations that are initiated and driven by the Indigenous communities themselves and that are in line with local aspirations for natural resource management and preservation of traditional knowledge.

There are a number of Australian Aboriginal traditional owners who are striving hard to better their communities’ livelihoods through the initiation of different ventures which capitalise on the strengths of ‘living off the land’. The Australian Human Rights Commission in its 2010 Social Justice Report, acknowledges the economic viability of homelands and particularly resource management projects which generate opportunities for conservation and economic development for traditional owners living on homelands (36). On homelands there is an enormous potential for traditional owners to develop economic opportunities based in sustainable land and resource management which includes river and water management, biodiversity conservation, carbon sequestration, fire control, quarantine, ecotourism and sustainable harvest of plant products. Such land management based activities are sometimes referred to as belonging to the ‘conservation economy’ or ‘hybrid economy’ which supports Aboriginal aspirations to live and work on country (37).

We argue that traditional owners of this calibre and who have relevant vision and foresight will have a greater bearing on the survival of their culture and identity than will Western researchers simply wanting to test plants based on valuable traditional ecological knowledge without research partnerships and the sharing of benefits.

Before discussing the collaborative project to which the authors of this review belong, it should be highlighted that there are other academic groups in Australia who are involved in
innovative Indigenous engagement research activities where medicinal plants and knowledge are of central focus. One such group includes researchers from the Indigenous Bioresources Research Group at Macquarie University, New South Wales who work with Aboriginal communities of Northern New South Wales (38). Part of the strategy for collaborating with Indigenous people from this area of Australia is stimulated by the fact that there has been little focus on New South Wales communities in previous studies which have documented the preparation and use of medicinal plants of Australian Aboriginal communities (39). A strong emphasis of the groups work is centred on the detailed recording of ethnobotanical data from communities within this region as a means of preserving the invaluable customary medicinal knowledge of these people (40). Additionally, they have also developed educational activities for school children in these areas as part of an initiative know as Indigenous Science Education Program (ISEP) (41).

A partnership between researchers from Griffith University and members of The Jarlmadangah Burru Aboriginal Community from the Kimberly region, North Western Australia has developed agreements on access and benefit-sharing arrangements. This research partnership, has resulted in filing of a patent co-owned by each party for the protection of the intellectual property relating to novel analgesic compounds isolated from a plant traditionally known as the Marjala plant (Barringtonia acutangula) (42, 43).

Kuuku I’Yu Medicinal Plant Project

The homelands of Kuuku I’yu Northern Kaanju people are located in Cape York Peninsula, Queensland, Australia and encompass some 840,000 hectares. Their country is centred on the upper Wenlock and Pascoe Rivers and is bordered by Archer River in the South, extending to Olive River in the North and from Lockhart Valley in the East, stretching to Embley Range in the West. For Kuuku I’yu Northern Kaanju people simply being partners or stakeholders in land and resource management projects run by mainstream agencies is not adequate. Instead they strive for a more locally driven and self-determined approach to the way in which they manage their land and resources (44). The origins of the collaboration between Chuulangun Aboriginal Corporation and University of South Australia researchers has in part been built on a reformed model of natural resource management (NRM) practices developed by The Corporation (44) (See Figure 2). One area of prioritisation in this framework is centred on the sustainable use and development of traditionally used medicinal and aromatic plants. To achieve these priorities Northern Kaanju traditional owners invited Western researchers to join them in initiating projects that would investigate the chemical and pharmacological properties of plants used in the traditional medicine system of Kuuku I’yu Northern Kaanju ancestors.

The manner by which this project was established often gives a reaction of surprise by outsiders due to the unusual situation of Indigenous researchers initiating partnerships with Western researchers, rather than by Western researchers. It is this contrasting approach however that is leading to novel Western scientific knowledge and understanding of these resources and treading new territory in terms of the recognition and benefits being distributed back to the community.

**Figure 2** Model for Sustainable Natural Resource Management (NRM) on Kuuku I’yu Northern Kaanju traditional homelands. (Reproduced with permission from Chuulangun Aboriginal Corporation).

The development and intellectual property considerations of this collaborative research model as one approach for Indigenous-initiated and driven Australian medicinal plant research has been covered in detail elsewhere (35).
The remainder of this review will examine the findings of the Western-science from this work, how it complements the Indigenous science (traditional knowledge) of the plants investigated (as opposed to confirming/dismissing the validity of the knowledge) and what it means in terms of moving forward.

As part of an Australian Research Council Linkage grant (2006-2009), several plants collected from Kuuku I’yu Northern Kaanju homelands were screened for their anti-inflammatory properties using an acute mouse ear oedema model (male Balb/C mice). This particular model uses the tumour-promoting phorbol ester 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) to induce inflammation of the ear. It is characterised by a marked increase in redness and swelling, where ear thickness is measured as an endpoint for inflammation (45). The model allows the detection of anti-inflammatory compounds that work by a variety of different mechanisms. Amongst the most active anti-inflammatory extracts tested in this model was a sample prepared from the leaves and stems of the plant Dodonaea polyandra. The traditional use of *D. polyandra* (known traditionally as ‘Uncha’ by Kuuku I’yu traditional owners involved in the project) is to counteract pain, inflammation and discomfort associated with toothache and infection in the oral cavity. The laboratory *in vivo* result complemented the traditional use of Uncha. Ethanol was used as the initial extraction solvent for laboratory testing due to its ability to extract a broad range of plant secondary compounds. Traditionally the plant was not prepared as an extract but the plant material was used directly – held in the mouth, chewed or inserted into the hole following the removal of a tooth. This type of application could allow the release of a range of both lipophilic and more hydrophilic compounds into the saliva.

The initial Western scientific results with extracts of *D. polyandra* consequently stimulated several years of intense research to uncover the chemical and mechanistic basis of the observed effect. To begin establishing the class(es) of constituents found in Uncha that might be responsible for this effect an activity-guided approach was undertaken. This technique which is widely used in natural products isolation, involves fractionating the extract based on the polarity of the constituents then testing fractions for bioactivity. Active fractions can be further separated and tested and pure active compounds eventually isolated. *In vivo* screening of solvent fractionated samples of *D. polyandra* ranging from low to high polarity was conducted. The results from this work (46) suggested secondary metabolites of non-polar nature were major contributors to the overall activity, whilst more polar constituents were contributing to a lesser extent. Activity-guided fractionation was conducted on lipophilic extracts of *D. polyandra* leaves in the same mouse ear oedema model of acute inflammation. The bioactivity was concentrated to within a small number of sub-fractions obtained from chromatographic separation processes of the extract. Eventually, four benzoyl ester furanoclerodane diterpenoids were isolated, of which three were established as contributing a major part of the anti-inflammatory effects of the plant (47).

The activity profiles for these compounds were determined via dose-response relationships, which revealed non-linear (biphasic) characteristics. The mechanism behind this phenomenon is often difficult to ascertain due to the multivariate nature of the response. However, such responses have potential to suggest possible molecular mechanisms for the compounds by noting other types of compounds known to give similar responses. Interestingly, glucocorticoid steroids are noteworthy examples known for displaying biphasic dose-response curves (48, 49).

Whilst the full understanding behind the molecular mechanism of action of glucocorticoids is far from complete, it has been suggested that their ability to cause transrepression (transcription repression) and transactivation (transcription activation) of both pro- and anti-inflammatory genes (eg. cytokines and their receptors) could in part explain these trends (50-52). Understanding the mechanism of action of these diterpenoids compounds has been a primary focus of the most recent research due to initiation of commercial development pathways (see later). Understanding the chemical nature of the active compounds from a Western-scientific perspective was all very interesting, however traditional knowledge philosophy states that the effect is due to the whole plant (ie. all the constituents) and not a few single chemical entities. It is also viewed traditionally that disturbing the balance (ratio) of constituents can lead to the plant losing pharmacological effects. As mentioned above, this research is grounded in complementing the traditional knowledge and use of medicinal plants within the Kuuku I’yu traditional medicine system rather than proving it right or wrong.
It could not be ruled out that other constituents within *D. polyandra* might be playing a supportive role for the observed anti-inflammatory activity either through some additive anti-inflammatory effects or through effects on the distribution or clearance of the active diterpenoid compounds. Additional chemical analyses undertaken of ‘less-active’ fractions revealed the presence of a number of prenylated and non-prenylated flavonoids (53). Interestingly, a kaempferol derivative isolated in that study has been previously reported as an inhibitor of carrageenan-induced hind paw oedema and shown to be a potent anti-nociceptive agent in mice (54). The flavonoid class of secondary metabolites and in particular derivatives containing prenylated side chains are consistent with other studies conducted on related species within the *Dodonaea* genus where similar compounds have been identified (55-57). One of the main features of these compounds is their lipophilicity. Lipophilic compounds tend to be transported across the skin or mucous membranes better than hydrophilic compounds and may therefore have the ability to have effects in the local tissues or to enter the circulation. The particular activities of the flavonoids isolated from *D. polyandra* remain to be explored, but prenylated flavonoids have been found to exert a variety of physiological effects due to their affinity for biological membranes and protein targets such as enzymes (58).

A direction this research is heading towards is the development of commercial medicinal products that contain or are derived from the plant products used in Kuuku I’yu traditional medicine. The discovery of the potent anti-inflammatory compounds from *D. polyandra* led to discussions with Kuuku I’yu traditional owners relating to the commercial prospects of such compounds and/or the extracts derived from the species. As part of this work is also centred on protecting Indigenous traditional knowledge, efforts were made to initiate protecting existing and new Intellectual Property via provisional patent applications in 2009 and subsequent Patent Co-operation Treaty (PCT) applications leading to National Phase Filing in 2012 in several countries including the United States, countries of the European Union, China and others (59). This process was a landmark achievement in the history of the research from several points of view. Firstly, recognition and protection of the traditional knowledge involved, acknowledgment of traditional owners as inventors including a deceased focal Kuuku I’yu ancestor. Most importantly the Chuulangun Aboriginal Corporation and University of South Australia are joint applicants of the patent which signifies the ongoing commitment that Western researchers have in ensuring Indigenous researchers and their community benefit equally from the research being conducted.

In the event of a saleable product being brought to market, how raw material will be supplied and processed is an obvious question that researchers have started investigating. In keeping with Indigenous ecological practices, the most fitting approach is to have raw plant material bush harvested by members of the Indigenous community, as opposed to controlled cultivation methods. This approach would create employment opportunities for the community to be involved in the field work, aligning with other aims and desirable outcomes for our research. A similar commercialisation case to this exists between Jarlmadangah Burru Aboriginal Corporation and Eskitis Institute – Griffith University, Queensland related to a plant with pain relieving properties known as the Marjala plant (mentioned earlier) (42). In 2008, both parties entered into a commercialisation agreement with biotechnology
company Avexis. Whilst our research does not yet have a commercial industry partner, a point of difference between the two cases is that the Avexis agreement outlines the opportunity for Jarlmadangah Burru Aboriginal Corporation to cultivate and supply raw material, instead of bush harvest.

From the perspective of Kuuku I’yu traditional owners horticulture and cultivation style practices are not consistent with Indigenous land management practice and philosophy. Plant materials need to be wild harvested by people who have been authorised under Indigenous governance and law in order for the medicine to have the proper medicinal effect. Further, unauthorised use of particular plant medicines could contravene Indigenous law and could have negative consequences in terms of intergenerational transfer of traditional knowledge.

The significant number of plants used by Kuuku I’yu people and their potential to be screened in a vast number of disease models suggests that there is a long future for this collaboration. As such, it is envisaged that new opportunities to protect intellectual property will arise. Aside from patents, future intellectual property protection strategies may include looking at the appropriateness of trademarking. Trademarks may allow a product to be linked to a particular culture and geographical region. One issue which arises in the patenting process is the concern that other communities may use *D. polyandra* for different purposes or use different parts of the plant. Depending on the scope of claims granted within the patent, this could prevent any other communities from exploring the full scope of their own commercial projects related to that species. Chuulangun Aboriginal Corporation is also exploring other options for protecting Indigenous knowledge about natural resources more broadly in a way that recognises the geographical region and the natural resources and people that belong to it. This is one of the many challenges and learning curves faced with this type of research, where Western intellectual property laws have not been developed to properly protect Indigenous intellectual property (35).

*Dodonaea polyandra* fits within a unique subgroup of plants whereby it grows as separate and distinct male and female individual plants, termed dioecious. This is in contrast to majority of flowering plants which are bisexual, that is containing a flower with both male and female reproductive units (60). During collections made on Northern Kaanju homelands, raw material from a positively identified male individual was obtained and brought back to the laboratory for chemical characterisation. Initial work indicated that the constituents within this male sample were significantly different to those previously identified during bioactivity-guided fractionation. On this occasion bioactivity did not guide fractionation of the plant constituents, instead we aimed to identify the major components present. The study led to isolation and structural elucidation of several labdane diterpenoids, a subgroup of the diterpenoid class that is biosynthetically related to the clerodane type mentioned earlier (61). In the same manner that the prenylated flavonoids appear to be common in the genus *Dodonaea*, labdane diterpenoids have been regularly identified in other species of the genus (62-64). While the bioactivity of this sample remains to be tested, the chemistry of this male individual is quite unique. Of interest was that the clerodane diterpenoids identified previously were not detected in this male individual, giving the possibility of distinct differences between male and female individuals of this species. A novel labdane diterpenoid containing a rare seven-membered cyclic ether functionality was found (61). Analogous compounds have only been yielded via synthetic methods (65) and a brominated derivative identified from a marine algae (66). Hence, the discovery of such a molecule from a terrestrial source is of great significance. It also exemplifies the significance of Australian medicinal plants as an untapped source of novel chemical scaffolds. Whilst the traditional use of many Australian plants have been published in sources including Aboriginal pharmacopoeias and other books of similar nature (13, 67), much of the Western scientific properties of these plants remains to be unravelled.

The focus of the most recent work has involved probing the mechanism of action of the clerodane diterpenoid compounds formerly identified. No work from this study has been published at the time of writing and therefore discussion will be kept to a general nature. The compounds were tested for inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX)-1 and 2 enzyme activity. However, results from this test was negative implying the compounds were not COX inhibitors. Given the complex nature of the inflammation process and a lack of knowledge regarding the mechanisms of the anti-
inflammatory compounds, initial work has focused on determining what effect the compounds have on cytokine production in both immunological and skin cells. The chosen direction is a reflection of the in vivo model used for guiding the initial activity where immune cells (e.g. monocyte/macrophage) and skin cells (e.g. keratinocyte) act in concert to release cytokines that are known to give rise to the inflammatory response following induction (with TPA). To date, data from both in vitro and in vivo experimental conditions suggest that several pro-inflammatory cytokines and cytokines involved in skin proliferation processes are implicated. Protein kinase C which is activated by TPA (68) and responsible for transducing the inflammatory response cascade in the TPA-induced mouse ear oedema model has been ruled out as a target, with negative results obtained from screening one of the active compounds against all isoforms of this kinase. Time-response data obtained in earlier in vivo work suggests that the compounds are acting at an early stage of the inflammatory response, as indicated by a shallower slope of the data line (Figure 4) (69). In this particular animal model steroid-like compounds often give similar patterns of data, in contrast to NSAID compounds which often show a delayed response and hence a steeper slope in the early stages (i.e. Treatment and control data overlap until after several hours have passed). Continuing work in this area may look at exploring gene expression profiles which might help to shed light on the proinflammatory genes affected when cells are treated with the clerodane diterpenoids.

Efforts to explore the development of *D. polyandra* as a commercial medicinal product have included the preparation of prototype formulations containing both a crude extract and pure ‘active’ compounds. This work (unpublished) has involved the use of in vitro techniques aimed at optimising the characteristics of an appropriate semi-solid dosage form including physicochemical stability and drug release with subsequent confirmation in vivo for bioactivity. These prototypes have demonstrated some success in mouse studies and are now progressing towards being tested in different models of skin inflammation to assess their efficacy and 3D skin models to assess toxicity and irritancy.

![Figure 4](image_url) **Figure 4** Time-response data of active compounds 2 and 4 (from Figure 3) showing slope of treatment curves shallower than TPA control curve, indicating compounds are acting on the early response of the inflammatory process. (■ = TPA control (2.5 µg/ear), △ = compound 2 (0.88 µmol/ear), ● = compound 4 (0.9 µmol/ear) and × = betamethasone-17,21-dipropionate (0.9 µmol/ear)).
It must be recognised in the Western scientific testing of plants used in traditional medicine that there are often some fundamental limitations in the conclusions that can be drawn. Placing a plant extract into a laboratory-based test removes it from the context in which it is used in traditional medicine including the spiritual and cultural aspects of use. A plant extract produced in a laboratory will never contain the exact blend of plant chemicals that will be available when the plant is being used directly by a person in their traditional medicine system. Aspects of plant compound absorption and metabolism are very difficult to replicate in the laboratory and are completely absent in most initial screening tests to identify plants of interest from a Western scientific perspective. While we have gone some way in gaining a Western scientific understanding of the medicinal activity of *Dodonaea polyandra*, and how this complements Kuuku I’yu understandings of the plant’s effects, there is still the need for more detailed studies of how the plant actually works in the traditional use setting. Often our research priorities have been driven by the potential commercial development of the plant extracts and the need to meet expectations of potential commercial partners and medicine regulatory authorities.

**CONCLUSION**

Whilst this collaboration has developed a model which describes one approach to be used in conducting medicinal plant research involving Indigenous partners, we are reminded that the process should be constantly evolving and adapted to ensure continued growth of research outcomes.

In terms of the broader picture in the investigation of Australian Aboriginal medicinal plants, there is no time like the present. Australia is positioned as one of the megadiverse countries in the world. There are species growing in areas occupied by Indigenous populations which have had no Western-scientific evaluations conducted on them. A number of Indigenous groups are examining ways to develop their medicinal plant resources that will provide opportunities to ensure the future existence of their people and culture. We have described some of the Western scientific outcomes using a research model where Aboriginal people actively participate as researchers in locally initiated and driven medicinal plant research. As the project moves forward it is envisaged that this will lead to the development of novel plant-based commercial products which will help to facilitate the reoccupation of traditional homelands via community operated business enterprises and sustainable management of these lands. The blending of Indigenous and Western scientific methodology is serving as a bridge to ensure the success of this vision. No singular, detailed model or approach exists for addressing these issues and any way forward will need to be developed in conjunction with Aboriginal people in particular places in a local context. Models for Aboriginal participation and developing cross-cultural collaboration will inevitably vary from place to place. If there is one aspect of any future model which should be inherent in its design, it is that there continues to be learning from both sides.
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