The epidemiology of melioidosis in the Balimo region of Papua New Guinea
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SUMMARY

The distribution of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* was determined in soil collected from a rural district in Papua New Guinea (PNG) where melioidosis had recently been described, predominately affecting children. In 274 samples, 2.6% tested culture-positive for *B. pseudomallei*. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis using SpeI digests and rapid polymorphic DNA PCR with five primers demonstrated a single clone amongst clinical isolates and isolates cultured from the environment that was commonly used by children from whom the clinical isolates were derived. We concluded that individuals in this region most probably acquired the organism through close contact with the environment at these sites. *Burkholderia thailandensis*, a closely related *Burkholderia* sp. was isolated from 5.5% of samples tested, an observation similar to that of melioidosis-endemic areas in Thailand. This is the first report of an environmental reservoir for melioidosis in PNG and confirms the Balimo district in PNG as melioidosis endemic.

INTRODUCTION

Melioidosis is caused by the saprophytic Gram-negative bacterium *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. Although the organism’s ecology is not well understood, *B. pseudomallei* seems to prefer moist clay soils and associated fresh water [1]. It is clear that individuals with a close association with the environment in regions where the organism is endemic are at risk of acquiring the disease [2]. When a reservoir of infection and mode of transmission is elucidated, control measures may be investigated. A melioidosis-endemic region in rural Papua New Guinea (PNG) has recently been reported [3]. A key feature of the disease in this remote and resource-poor community is childhood predilection. The objective of this study was to demonstrate a reservoir of infection and, through determining the molecular epidemiology of isolates from clinical and environmental sources, propose a mode of transmission for melioidosis in this region. This should assist with the development of more efficacious melioidosis prevention strategies.

METHODS

Ethical approval was provided by the PNG Medical Research Advisory Council. At all times permission from local village communities was sought before any aspect of the study commenced.
Three village communities, Balimo, Kimama and Adiba, within the Gogodala language group of the Western province PNG where clinical melioidosis has recently been described were selected for the study (Fig. 1) [3]. Sites where individuals, particularly children, had contact with the environment were opportunistically sampled. Sites included soil from garden places (GP); soil under or near houses (NH); soil from points of land near the lagoon, where children wash (PtC); soil from mainland regions of the village (N-PtC) and soil from walls of water wells or soil adjacent to well (Wells). Samples from the same region within villages were generally taken at 5 m intervals. Samples were collected during the last month of the wet season. A hole about 50 cm deep was dug with a spade which was cleaned of excess soil and disinfected with 70% ethanol between each application. Samples of soil were generally taken at a depth of 30 cm using disposable wooden applicator sticks. About 80 g of soil was collected from the hole, placed into 80 ml sterile, polypropylene containers and transported to James Cook University, Townsville, Australia for processing.

Ashdown’s *B. pseudomallei* environmental selective broth (ASHSB) [4] with the addition of 50 mg/l colistin was used in the processing. Sample preparation and incubation conditions were optimized as follows. Forty grams of soil were placed in 40 ml sterile distilled water. The mixture was subjected to agitation in an orbital shaker at 30 °C for 24 h. Next the soil was allowed to settle and 10 ml of the supernatant was added to 10 ml of 2× concentrated ASHSB and further incubated at 30 °C for 5 days. Then the broth was subcultured onto Ashdown agar (ASH) with
8 mg/l gentamicin. Typical colonies were selected for further investigation.

Both phenotypic and genotypic methods of identification were used. Isolates were presumptively identified as *B. pseudomallei* based on typical colonial appearance, positive oxidase reaction and gentamicin resistance. The identity was confirmed using API 20NE (bioMérieux, Baulkham Hills, NSW) or Microbact 24E (MedVet, Adelaide, SA) [5]. Isolates were further subjected to PCR using published primers and protocols, which were able to discriminate between *B. pseudomallei* and *B. thailandensis* [6, 7]. Isolates suspected of being *B. thailandensis* were assessed for arabinose assimilation and only those testing positive were identified as *B. thailandensis* [8]. Isolates that met these genotypic and phenotypic criteria were confirmed as either *B. pseudomallei* or *B. thailandensis*.

All isolates confirmed as either *B. pseudomallei* or *B. thailandensis* in this study along with 10 clinical *B. pseudomallei* isolates previously cultured from individuals from the Balimo region, were subjected to pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) using *SpeI* [9]. The *B. pseudomallei* isolates were also subjected to rapid amplified of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) PCR using five primers (RAPD 10mer; Qiagen, Doncaster, VIC) based on the methods previously published for use with *B. pseudomallei* [10, 11]. Band patterns were analysed based on the methods of Tenover [12]. Fisher’s exact test was used to determine differences among and between villages and regions within villages for prevalence of *B. pseudomallei* and *B. thailandensis* in soil and Spearman’s correlation coefficients were used to determine relationships between the prevalence of the two *Burkholderia* species.

**RESULTS**

The prevalence of *B. pseudomallei* and *B. thailandensis* from the 274 soil samples collected from the three villages are shown in Table 1. Although no samples collected from Balimo were culture-positive for *B. pseudomallei*, the prevalence did not vary significantly among villages (*P* = 0.90, Fisher’s exact test). In contrast, the prevalence of *B. thailandensis* among villages varied significantly (*P* < 0.001, Fisher's exact test). The distribution of *B. pseudomallei* and *B. thailandensis*-positive samples in regions within villages is shown in Table 2. Only the samples taken from the regions classified as points of land, where children play (PtC) yielded *B. pseudomallei* although the prevalence did not vary significantly among regions (*P* = 0.8, Fisher’s exact test). However, the
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**Table 1. Prevalence of *B. pseudomallei* and *B. thailandensis* from soil samples taken from Kimama, Balimo and Adiba**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Village</th>
<th>No. of soil samples</th>
<th>No. of <em>B. pseudomallei</em>-positive samples</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>No. of <em>B. thailandensis</em>-positive samples</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kimama</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>5 (3.5%)</td>
<td>1.5-7.9</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.1-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balimo</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0-10.0</td>
<td>4 (11.8%)</td>
<td>4.8-26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiba</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>2 (2.1%)</td>
<td>0.6-7.3</td>
<td>11 (11.5%)</td>
<td>6.5-19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>7 (2.6%)</td>
<td>1.3-5.2</td>
<td>15 (5.5%)</td>
<td>3.3-8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Distribution of *B. pseudomallei*- and *B. thailandensis*-positive soil samples per region within village**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region within village</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>No. of <em>B. pseudomallei</em>-positive samples</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>No. of <em>B. thailandensis</em>-positive samples</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GP*</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0-21-26.5</td>
<td>3 (27.3%)</td>
<td>9.9-57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0-1-10.0</td>
<td>5 (14.7%)</td>
<td>6.5-30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PtC</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>7 (3.7%)</td>
<td>1.8-7.4</td>
<td>3 (1.6%)</td>
<td>0.6-4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-PtC</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0-2-24.7</td>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
<td>0.19-36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0-1-12.8</td>
<td>3 (11.5%)</td>
<td>4.1-29.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* See Methods section for explanation of abbreviations.
distribution of *B. thailandensis*-positive samples varied significantly among regions (*P* < 0.001, Fisher’s exact test). It is interesting to note that in both regions within and among villages there appear to be inverse relationships between the rank of *B. pseudomallei* and *B. thailandensis* prevalence, although these did not achieve significance at the 5% level (*P* = 0.2 and 0.3, respectively, Spearman correlation).

A total of 17 *B. pseudomallei* and 15 *B. thailandensis* isolates were subjected to molecular typing. These included the seven environmental *B. pseudomallei* isolates collected during this study and 10 clinical isolates previously cultured from individuals with melioidosis from the Balimo region [3]. All isolates were subjected to *SpeI* restriction digest PFGE. All 17 confirmed *B. pseudomallei* isolates, including the 10 isolates that represent three clinical/environmental

### Table 3. *B. pseudomallei* isolates epidemiologically matched in terms of locality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. no.</th>
<th>Nature of sample</th>
<th>Case/location</th>
<th>Village</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adiba village matched isolates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>Sputum</td>
<td>Case GD</td>
<td>Adiba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A67</td>
<td>Red clay</td>
<td>Near bathing place</td>
<td>Adiba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A78</td>
<td>Brown top soil</td>
<td>Near bathing place</td>
<td>Adiba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimama, Teleme, matched isolates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Case KawS, isolate 1</td>
<td>Kimama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Case KawS, isolate 2</td>
<td>Kimama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K33</td>
<td>Brown clay mix</td>
<td>Near bathing place</td>
<td>Kimama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K41</td>
<td>Gray loose sand</td>
<td>Near bathing place</td>
<td>Kimama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimama, Digi point, matched isolates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Case KimS</td>
<td>Kimama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K113</td>
<td>Brown mix soil</td>
<td>Point leading to lagoon</td>
<td>Kimama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K141</td>
<td>Red mix soil</td>
<td>Point leading to lagoon</td>
<td>Kimama</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nature of sample refers to either an isolate of clinical or environmental origin. Case/location refers to the individual diagnosed with melioidosis from whom the organism was isolated and recently reported [3] and the location of the environmental sample. Teleme and Digi point are localities within Kimama village, they are both adjacent to the lagoon system and about 300 m distant from each other.

![Fig. 2. SpeI digest PFGE patterns of three epidemiologically associated *B. pseudomallei* isolate groups.](image-url)
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matches (Table 3), shared the same PFGE macro-restriction pattern regardless of location and source of isolate (Figs 2 and 3). This genotype consists of 17 bands ranging from about 50–1000 kb. The 10 epidemiologically matched isolates were further analysed with RAPD PCR and all five primers showed identical banding patterns (data not shown).

In contrast, PFGE analysis of the 15 isolates that shared *B. thailandensis* characteristics demonstrated 10 genotypes (Figs 3 and 4). The four isolates from Balimo (E1–E4) shared the same type (I), two groups of two from Adiba shared two separate types (II and VI), but all others were separate, with varying degrees of similarity.

**DISCUSSION**

We report for the first time the isolation of *B. pseudomallei* from the environment in PNG, an observation that substantiates the Balimo region of the Western province as a newly described melioidosis-endemic community. The overall prevalence of *B. pseudomallei* cultured from soil samples (2.6%, 95% CI 1.3–5.2) is at the lower end of those reported from Thailand (4.4–20.4%) [13] but higher than those rates reported from North Queensland (1.7%) [14].

The general clonality demonstrated within this group of *B. pseudomallei* isolates from this region limits the ability to clearly define the epidemiology of infection. However, the data gathered so far supports the general hypothesis that the organism responsible for melioidosis in this region resides in soil at points of land leading into the lagoon where children play. In this community children are more likely than adults to wash (bathe) in the muddy waters of the lagoon adjacent to these regions. This activity is mostly robust play, and is likely to enable transmission of water-borne organisms. The mode of acquisition could be through inhalation, aspiration or per-nasal transmission, or by subcutaneous inoculation through pre-existing skin lesions. The epidemiology may be similar to that recently described in Brazil where childhood predilection associated with specific environmental exposure with no apparent comorbidity is also a feature. Together they may represent a new epidemiological paradigm, one which health-care workers in similar rural subsistence communities should be made aware of [15, 16]. Further sampling and testing is required to support these observations.
It has been noted elsewhere that the prevalence of
*B. pseudomallei* has an inverse relationship with the
prevalence of *B. thailandensis* and that this is related
to a lower clinical incidence of melioidosis in endemic
regions [17]. The relatively small number of samples
employed in this study applied limitations to the
statistical analysis of the prevalence data. However,
it is noteworthy in this study that the village with
highest *B. pseudomallei* prevalence (Kimama) shared
the lowest prevalence of *B. thailandensis* and the
inverse was observed with the village with the lowest
*B. pseudomallei* prevalence (Balimo) (Table 1). These
observations need to be further tested with a more
robust sampling strategy.

The *B. pseudomallei* isolates that represent the
single PFGE and RAPD genotype share the multi-
locus sequence type (MLST) ST267 (Nilsson, unpub-
lished observations), the same as a clone previously
typed from the Balimo region of PNG. This general
lack of genetic diversity of *B. pseudomallei* from
this region is of interest, and may reflect attributes
of ecology and survival of this organism in this
region. A phylogenetic study of these isolates with
those from geographically related regions where
melioidosis has been previously reported, such as
Port Moresby, Torres Strait and mainland Queens-
land [18–20] may yield information on the evolution
and movement of *B. pseudomallei* within this region
[21].

The differences in genetic diversity between
*B. pseudomallei* and *B. thailandensis* may highlight the
importance of selection pressure from the human
susceptible host and/or single-celled eukaryotes or
plants in this region [22] in selecting out and main-
taining a single genotype of *Burkholderia* sp. from
the environment with the fitness to adapt to an intra-
cellular lifestyle. This virulent genotype may be re-
cycled into the environment and be maintained
through continued exposure and release, as has been
speculated previously [17, 23, 24]. As virulence, and
therefore an ability to adopt an intracellular habitat,
appears not to be a feature of the PNG *B. thai-
landensis* strains [25], clonal selection of this species
in this way is unlikely. Further characterization of the
PNG *B. thailandensis* isolates within this collection
may demonstrate divergence typical of multiple spe-
cies.

Local health-care authorities should expect melio-
dosis cases to appear after the beginning of the wet
season, when the water fills the lagoon and children
are more likely to wash and play at these sites. Basic
primary health care which protects skin lesions such
as cuts and sores from the environment may be useful
in minimizing exposure to *B. pseudomallei*. Further
recognition and quarantine of infected areas would
provide a simple cost-effective strategy which should
reduce morbidity and mortality from this infection in
a region with limited health resources.
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